Evidence Provided ⁱ	Submit Studies ⁱⁱ	Evidence Tier		
Note: In order to receive full points for the assessed evidence tier, all data and studies described in the narrative or submitted as additional documents must contain sufficient detail to assess the quality indicators described on page 12 of the NOFO (similarity of				
intervention, methodological quality and rigor, strength and consistency of findings, and provide sufficient information to assess these indicators may not receive full points for th	date of study.) Appli	cants that do not		
lower evidence tier.	eir evidence ner or m	ay be assessed in a		
Applicant has not systematically collected any qualitative or quantitative data on their program model or intervention.	No	No Evidence		
Applicant's proposed program is evidence informed ⁱⁱⁱ but the applicant has not systematically collected any qualitative or quantitative data on their program model or intervention.	No	No Evidence		
The applicant has collected systematic and accurate data to test or track one or more of the following components of its logic model: Community need Activities and services delivered (outputs) Participation in the intervention by the target population (outputs) Participant outcomes, including performance measurement data All of the following are true: The data collection process and results are described fully The applicant explains the link between data collection and the relevant component(s) of its logic model	No	Pre-Preliminary		

The applicant has conducted a process evaluation assessing implementation of one or more interventions depicted in the logic model. All of the following are true: The data collection process and results are described fully The applicant explains the link between data collection and the relevant component(s) of its logic model	No	Pre-Preliminary
The applicant has conducted at least one outcome study of its own intervention. The outcome study has one of the following designs: □ Pre and post-test without a comparison group □ Post-test only with a comparison group	No	Preliminary
All of the following are true:		
 □ The outcome study includes data beyond that which is collected as part of routine performance measurement □ The applicant provides a detailed description of the outcome study data □ The description explains whether the outcome study was conducted by the applicant organization or by an entity external to the applicant □ The outcome study yielded promising results for the proposed intervention 		

The applicant is proposing to replicate ^{iv} an evidence-based program with fidelity. All of	Yes	Preliminary
the following are true:		
☐ Applicant submits at least one randomized control study (RCT) or quasi-		
experimental evaluation of the intervention the applicant will replicate		
☐ The evaluation found positive results for the intervention the applicant will		
replicate		
☐ The evaluation was conducted by an entity external to the organization whose		
program was studied		
☐ Applicant describes how the intervention studied and the applicant's proposed		
approach are the same		
□ Applicant describes how the applicant will replicate the intervention with		
fidelity to the program model		
The following may be top but is not required:		
The following may be true but is not required:		
☐ The applicant has submitted a process evaluation demonstrating how it is		
currently replicating the intervention with fidelity to the program model		
The applicant has conducted at least one outcome study of its own intervention AND	Yes	Preliminary
the applicant is proposing to replicate another evidence-based intervention with fidelity.		
All requirements outlined for preliminary evidence in the two previous sections are met.		

The applicant has conducted at least one quasi-experimental study (QED) or	Yes	Moderate
randomized control trial (RCT) of its own program. All of the following are true:		
☐ The studies are well-designed and well-implemented		
☐ The studies evaluate the same intervention described in the application		
☐ The studies demonstrate evidence of effectiveness (positive findings) on one or		
more key desired outcomes of interest depicted in the applicant's logic model		
☐ The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the applicant		
organization		
☐ The ability to generalize the findings from the RCT or QED beyond the study		
context may be limited (e.g., single-site)		
The applicant has conducted at least one quasi-experimental study (QED) or	Yes	Strong
randomized control trial (RCT) of its own program. All of the following are true:		
☐ The studies are well-designed and well-implemented		
☐ The studies evaluate the same intervention described in the application		
☐ The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the applicant		
organization		
☐ The overall pattern of study findings is consistently positive		
☐ Findings from the studies may be generalized beyond the study context		
At least one of the following is true:		
☐ The intervention has been tested nationally, regionally, or at the state level (e.g.,		
multi-site) using a well-designed and well-implemented QED or RCT.		
☐ The applicant has conducted multiple QEDs or RCTs in different locations or		
with different populations within a local geographic area		
		1

ⁱ Applicants must meet all requirements of the evidence tier in order to be considered for that tier. Applicants that do not meet all requirements will be considered for a lower tier.

AmeriCorps State and National 2016 Evidence Checklist

ii When submission of studies is permitted, applicants may submit up to two evaluation reports. CNCS grantees recompeting for at least the second time are required to submit an evaluation report. For these grantees, the CNCS-required evaluation report may count toward one of the two studies or may be submitted in addition to them. In the latter case, all three studies will be considered against the review criteria. Studies submitted beyond the allowable number will not be reviewed.

iii An evidence informed program uses the best available knowledge, research, and evaluation to guide program design and implementation, but does not have scientific research or rigorous evaluation of the program itself and is not replicating an evidence-based program.

iv Replicate means that the key elements of the applicant's intervention are implemented as the evidence-based program model describes (e.g., in terms of content or curriculum, delivery process, and target population), and the applicant's adaptations are relatively minor. For example, an applicant implementing an intervention using certified teachers to administer the curriculum would not be considered replicating that program with fidelity if it replaces teachers with AmeriCorps members who are not certified teachers because the documented success of the intervention relied on the specialization of certified teachers.